

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,144	06/11/2002	Wolfgang Reeb	1997	1019
75	90 07/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Striker Striker & Stenby			EASTHOM, KARL D	
103 East Neck I Huntington, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			am .
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/088,144	REEB ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Karl D Easthom	2832
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repropose of the provisions of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to solv within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.5	lune 2004.	
·		s action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowed		prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 13-23 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received. Its have been received in Appli	cation No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eived in this National Stage
* /	application from the International Burea	, .,	المراجعة
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	i of the certified copies not rec	eivea.
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	6) Other:	nai i atent Application (F 10-102)

1. Regarding the remarks indicating an IDS 2/21/2002 filed, no such IDS appears in the EDAN imaged file. However, the PALM system indicates such an IDS was filed around 6/10/2002, and same has been requested to be imaged. Applicant may wish to send a duplicate copy to ensure entry.

Page 2

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the housing has at least one detent projection (54) since there is no clear indication of how the housing is structurally related to the detent projection, where the line of demarcation between 54 and 51 is not clear in Fig. 1. The detent toothing is not clear for similar reasons.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13-18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haydon et al. Haydon discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1 with a housing 15, potentiometer 17, motor 32, driven wheel 24, the driven wheel is 24, potentiometer housing 16, and the detent tooth of the housing is any number of elements such as 55, or 31 the element labeled gear on shaft 26. The housing 15 has detent tooth 28a or 28b which forms a detent toothing with 29 or the gear attached thereto. Or the housing 15

"has" detent tooth 47 which forms a detent toothing with detent projection, forming a detent toothing. That is "has" is construed broadly where the elements are all attached indirectly. In claim 14, the detent tooth 48 is indirectly on the plate 13 which is in part, considered part of the housing 16, or is on the circumference of housing of 16. Or, a circumference is the opening in the housing 16 or end plate 13 upon which is the detent tooth 48; i.e. the inner circumference whence shaft 19 projects. In claim 15, potentiometer housing 15 is on housing 15. In claims 16-17, the housing is rotatable as disclosed at cols. 4-5 lines 45-55, with hole 54 in the vicinity of any of the elements noted where the term is broad. In claim 18, the electrical connections are "at least partially similar to a serpentine" where any wires implicit in the disclosure meet the claim. In claims 21-23, all parts can be installed or inserted in an inward direction.

- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown.

 Brown discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1 with housing 12, motor 18,

 potentiometer 45 or 51 and shaft 27, driven wheel 32, 33, detent projection 54 and detent tooth 50, where the potentiometer housing 51 "has" the detent tooth since it is connected to same.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayden in view of Brown. Hayden discloses the claimed invention except the leaf spring. Brown discloses a helical spring 14 for damping axial motion of the motor rotor shaft, and it

would have been obvious to employ any equivalent means of damping where helical and leaf springs are species of a class well known to perform in an equivalent manner.

- 9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayden in view of Pathman. Hayden discloses the claimed invention except the worm gear. Pathman discloses a shaft is 30 having worms 28, 38 on both ends meshing with a driven wheel 40, so that it would have been obvious to modify a shaft of Hayden to have a worm gear to replace another type of gear since both disclosures are concerned with gearing between a motor and potentiometer.
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 6/7/4 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive. Applicant argues that the patent to Haydon et al neither shows nor suggests the potentiometer housing 16 having no detent tooth. This is not correct. A detent tooth is the tooth on the gear noted. The toothing is as noted. The housing "has" the gear since "has" in this sense means connected, and applicant does not clearly depict how his housing has a detent projection, as noted above. The term "detent", according to dictionary definitions indicates a pivoted engagement with a gear or ratchet. The gears noted meet the term, since pivot means to rotate. No specific argument for Brown was made.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989 The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832